arXiv:math/0112072v1 [math.AG] 7 Dec 2001

DEGENERATION OF $SL(n)$-BUNDLES ON A REDUCIBLE CURVE

Xiaotao Sun

Introduction

It is a classic idea in algebraic geometry to use degeneration method. In particular, it achieved successes recently in the studying of moduli spaces of vector bundles (See [Gi], [GL1], [GL2], [NR] and [S1]). In connection of string theory, it needs also to study the degeneration of moduli spaces of G-bundles for any reductive algebraic group G (See [F1],[F2]).

Let $\mathcal{X} \to B$ be a proper flat family of curves of genus g such that $\mathcal{X}_b$ $(b \neq 0)$ smooth and $\mathcal{X}_0$ a semistable curve. It was known that there exists a family

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{X}}(G)_0 \to B_0 = B \setminus \{0\}$$

of moduli spaces of semistable G-bundles. The question becomes that for what geometric objects on $\mathcal{X}_0$ such that the moduli space of them gives a compactification $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{X}}(G) \to B$ of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{X}}(G)_0 \to B_0 = B \setminus \{0\}$. We can consider G as a subgroup of some $GL(n)$, and think a semistable G-bundle as a semistable vector bundle of rank n with some additional conditions. Thus we may think (not strictly) the moduli space of semistable G-bundles as a subscheme of the moduli space of semistable vector bundles of rank n. On the other hand, there is a natural choice of geometric objects, the torsion free sheaves, on $\mathcal{X}_0$. The moduli space of semistable torsion free sheaves gives a natural degeneration of moduli spaces of semistable vector bundles on $\mathcal{X}_b$ when b goes to 0. Then a possible approach to the problem is finding the correct torsion free G-sheaves on $\mathcal{X}_0$. However, the problem remains almost complete open except for special groups like $G = GL(n)$, $Sp(n)$ and $O(n)$ (See the introduction of [F1]). G. Faltings studied the cases that $G = Sp(n)$ and $O(n)$ but left the case $G = SL(n)$ open (See [F2]). In this paper, we will treat the case $G = SL(n)$ when $\mathcal{X}_0$ has two smooth irreducible components intersecting at one node x_0.

Let $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{X}} \to B$ be the family of moduli spaces of semistable torsion free sheaves and $S\mathcal{U}^0_{\mathcal{X}} \to B_0$ be the family of moduli spaces of semistable vector bundles with fixed determinant $\mathcal{L}$. Let $f : S\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{X}} \to B$ be the Zariski closure of $S\mathcal{U}^0_{\mathcal{X}} \subset \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{X}}$ in $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{X}}$. Then the problem becomes to give a moduli interpretation of $f^{-1}(0)$. Namely, to define a suitable moduli functor $S\mathcal{U}^{\natural}_{\mathcal{X}_0}$ such that $f^{-1}(0)$ universally corepresents $S\mathcal{U}^{\natural}_{\mathcal{X}_0}$. It is obvious that the above question can also be asked for moduli of bundles on higher dimensional variety. In the study of moduli spaces of bundles on surfaces (See [GL1]

The work supported by a grant of NFSC for outstanding young researcher at contract number 10025103.

Typeset by $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{M}}\mathcal{S}$-TEX

and [GL2]), Gieseker and Li have noted that the closed points $[\mathcal{F}] \in f^{-1}(0)$ satisfy the condition

$$(*) \qquad det(\mathcal{F}|_{\mathcal{X}_0\setminus\{x_0\}}) = \mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{X}_0\setminus\{x_0\}}.$$

In general, it may not be true that a semistable sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ satisfying condition $(*)$ has to be a point of $f^{-1}(0)$. For example, when $\mathcal{X}_0$ is irreducible, the set of semistable sheaves satisfying the condition $(*)$ will have bigger dimension than $f^{-1}(0)$. However, in our case when $\mathcal{X}_0$ has two smooth irreducible components intersecting at one node, the points of $f^{-1}(0)$ are precisely the semistable sheaves satisfying condition $(*)$ (See Lemma 2.2). In fact, we defined a moduli functor $\mathcal{SU}^{\natural}_{\mathcal{X}_0}$, which is represented by a closed subscheme $\mathcal{SU}_{\mathcal{X}_0} \subset \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{X}_0}$ of the moduli space of semistable torsion free sheaves on $\mathcal{X}_0$ (See Theorem 1.6). Moreover, we proved that $\mathcal{SU}_{\mathcal{X}_0}$ is a reduced, seminormal variety whose closed points are precisely the s-equivalent classes of semistable sheaves on $\mathcal{X}_0$ satisfying $(*)$. These was done in Section 1 (See Theorem 1.6). In Section 2, we showed that the above moduli problem has good specialzation (See [NS] for the notation), and the degeneration of moduli spaces of semistable $SL(n)$-bundles is $\mathcal{SU}_{\mathcal{X}_0}$ when b goes to 0.

The paper comes from a conversation with Jun Li, who told me the condition $(*)$ in his joint works with Gieseker. The emails with D.S. Nagaraj and C.S. Seshadri concerning Proposition (4.1) of [NS] were helpful for the observation of Lemma 1.4. I thank them very much.

§1 Moduli space of semistable sheaves with fixed determinant

Let X be a projective curve of genus g with two smooth irreducible components X_1 and X_2 of genus g_1 and g_2, which intersect at a node x_0 of X. Let $X^0 = X \setminus \{x_0\}$ and $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$ be a fixed ample line bundle on X. Fix integers $r > 0$, d and $\chi = d + r(1-g)$.

For any sheaf E on X, $P(E,n) = \chi(E(n)) := dim\mathrm{H}^0(E(n)) - dim\mathrm{H}^1(E(n))$ is called Hilbert polynomial of E. If E is a torsion free sheaf on X, and let r_i denote the rank of restriction of E to X_i $(i = 1, 2)$. The rank of E is defined to be

$$rk(E) := \frac{1}{deg(\mathcal{O}_X(1))} \cdot \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{P(E,n)}{n}.$$

Let $c_i = deg(\mathcal{O}_X(1)|_{X_i})$, $a_i = \frac{c_i}{c_1+c_2}$, then

$$P(E,n) = (c_1r_1 + c_2r_2)n + \chi(E), \quad rk(E) = a_1r_1 + a_2r_2.$$

Definition 1.1. *A sheaf E on X is called semistable (resp. stable) if, for any subsheaf $E_1 \subset E$, one has*

$$\chi(E_1) \le \ (resp.\ <)\ \frac{\chi(E)}{rk(E)} \cdot rk(E).$$

The moduli functor $\mathcal{U}^{\natural}_X : (\mathbb{C} - schemes) \to (sets)$ was defined as

$$\mathcal{U}^{\natural}_X(S) = \left\{ \mathcal{O}_S\text{-flat semistable sheaves } E \text{ on } X \times S \right.$$

and it is known that there exists a projective scheme $\mathcal{U}_X$, which universally corepresents the functor $\mathcal{U}^{\natural}_X$.

For any integer N and polynomial $P(n) = r(c_1+c_2)n+\chi$, let $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{O}_X(-N)^{P(N)}$ and $\mathcal{W}_S = \mathcal{O}_{X\times S}(-N)^{P(N)}$. Recall that $Quot_{P(n)}(\mathcal{W})$ is Grothendieck quotient scheme, whose S-valued points may be described as the set of quotients

$$\mathcal{W}_S \twoheadrightarrow F$$

on $X \times S$, where F is flat over S and its Hilbert polynomial is $P(n)$. Let $\mathcal{R}^{ss} \subset Quot_{P(n)}(\mathcal{W})$ (resp. $\mathcal{R}^s$) be the open set where the sheaf F is semistable (resp. stable) and $\mathcal{W}_S \twoheadrightarrow F$ induces an isomorphism $H^0(\mathcal{W}_S(N)) \cong H^0(F(N))$. Since the set of semistable sheaves with fixed Hilbert polynomial is bounded, we can assume that N is chosen large enough so that: every semistable sheaf with Hilbert polynomial $P(n)$ appears as a point in $\mathcal{R}^{ss}$. The group $SL(P(N))$ acts on $Quot_{P(n)}(\mathcal{W})$ and thus on $\mathcal{R}^{ss}$. The moduli space $\mathcal{U}_X$ was constructed as a good quotient

$$\mathcal{U}_X = \mathcal{R}^{ss}//SL(P(N)).$$

More precisely, the following were known (See [Si] for the more general results)

Theorem 1.2. *([Se], [Si]) Let $\mathcal{U}_X = \mathcal{R}^{ss}//SL(P(N))$ be the good quotient. Then*

1. *There exists a natural transformation $\mathcal{U}^{\natural}_X \to \mathcal{U}_X$ such that $\mathcal{U}_X$ universally corepresents $\mathcal{U}^{\natural}_X$.*
2. *$\mathcal{U}_X$ is projective, and its geometric points are*

$$\mathcal{U}_X(\mathbb{C}) = \left\{ \begin{matrix} s\text{-equivalent classes of semistable} \\ \text{sheaves of rank } r \text{ and degree } d \end{matrix} \right\}.$$

3. *There is an open subset $\mathcal{U}^s_X \subset \mathcal{U}_X$, with inverse image equal to $\mathcal{R}^s$, whose points represent isomorphism classes of stable sheaves. Locally in the étale topology on $\mathcal{U}^s_X$, there exists a universal sheaf $\mathcal{E}^{univ}$ such that if $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{U}^{\natural}_X(S)$ whose fibres $\mathcal{E}_s$ are stable, then the pull-back of $\mathcal{E}^{univ}$ via $S \to \mathcal{U}^s_X$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{E}$ after tensoring with the pull-back of a line bundle on S.*

Let $\mathcal{R}_0 \subset \mathcal{R}^{ss}$ be the dense open set of locally free sheaves. For each $F \in \mathcal{R}_0$, let $F_1 = F|_{X_1}$, $F_2 = F|_{X_2}$, we have $\chi(F_1) + \chi(F_2) = \chi + r$ and (by semistability)

$$a_1\chi \le \chi(F_1) \le a_1\chi + r, \quad a_2\chi \le \chi(F_2) \le a_2\chi + r. \tag{1.1}$$

Thus $\mathcal{R}_0$ is the disjoint union of $\mathcal{R}_0^{\chi_1,\chi_2} = \{F \in \mathcal{R}_0 | \ \chi(F|_{X_i}) = \chi_i\}$ where $\chi_1+\chi_2 = \chi + r$ satisfying (1.1). Let $\mathcal{R}^{\chi_1,\chi_2}$ be the Zariski closure of $\mathcal{R}_0^{\chi_1,\chi_2}$ in $\mathcal{R}^{ss}$, then

$$\mathcal{R}^{ss} = \bigcup_{\chi_1,\chi_2} \mathcal{R}^{\chi_1,\chi_2}$$

has at most $r+1$ irreducible components. Let $\mathcal{U}_{X_i}$ be the moduli space of semistable vector bundles F_i of rank r and $\chi(F_i) = \chi_i$. It was known that for all possible choices of χ_1, χ_2 satisfying (1.1), $\mathcal{R}^{\chi_1,\chi_2}$ is not empty if $\mathcal{U}_{X_1}$ and $\mathcal{U}_{X_2}$ are not empty.

$$\mathcal{U}_X = \bigcup \mathcal{U}^{\chi_1,\chi_2} = \bigcup \mathcal{R}^{\chi_1,\chi_2}//SL(P(N))$$

has $\sharp\{(\chi_1, \chi_2)\}$ irreducible components.

Let $\mathcal{L}_0$ be a line bundle of degree d on X, we define a subfunctor $\mathcal{SU}^{\natural}_X$ of $\mathcal{U}^{\natural}_X$ by

$$\mathcal{SU}^{\natural}_X(S) = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{O}_S\text{-flat semistable sheaves } E \text{ on } X \times S \text{ of rank } r \text{ satisfying} \\ det(E|_{X^0_S}) = p^*_X(\mathcal{L}_0)|_{X^0_S} \text{ and } \chi(E|_{X\times\{s\}}) = \chi \text{ for any } s \in S \end{array} \right\}$$

We will prove that there exists a closed subscheme $\mathcal{SU}_X \subset \mathcal{U}_X$ which is reduced, and universally corepresents the moduli functor $\mathcal{SU}^{\natural}_X$. To do that, we first prove that the local deformation functor of it is pro-representable. Let Λ be the category of Artinian local $\mathbb{C}$-algebras. Morphisms in Λ are local homomorphisms of $\mathbb{C}$-algebras. For any $A \in \Lambda$, we denote $X \times Spec(A)$ (resp. $X^0 \times Spec(A)$) by X_A (resp. X^0_A). At any point $e_0 = (\mathcal{W} \twoheadrightarrow E_0)$ of the *Quot* scheme $Quot_{P(n)}(\mathcal{W})$, we have the local deformation functor

$$G(A) = \{A\text{-flat quotients } (\mathcal{W}_A \twoheadrightarrow E), \text{ with } \chi(E(n)) = P(n)\}$$

such that $G(\mathbb{C}) = \{e_0\}$. It is well known that $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{Quot,e_0}$ pro-represents G. Let $\mathcal{L}_0$ be a fixed line bundle on X such that $det(E_0|_{X^0}) = \mathcal{L}_0|_{X^0}$. We can define a subfunctor of G by

$$F(A) = \{(\mathcal{W}_A \twoheadrightarrow E) \in G(A) \,|\, det(E|_{X^0_A}) = p^*_{X^0}\mathcal{L}_0\},$$

where p_{X^0} (resp. p_X, p_A) denotes the projection to X^0 (resp. X, $Spec(A)$).

Proposition 1.3. *The functor F is pro-representable.*

Proof. Let $A_1 \to A$ and $A_2 \to A$ be morphisms in Λ, and consider the map

$$F(A_1 \times_A A_2) \to F(A_1) \times_{F(A)} F(A_2). \tag{1.2}$$

By Theorem 2.11 of [Sc], it is enough to show that the map (1.2) is bijective when $A_2 \to A$ is a small extension and the tangent space t_F is a finite dimensional vector space. But the bijectivity of (1.2) implies that t_F has a vector space structure compatible with that of t_G (See Remark 2.13 of [Sc]). Thus $dim_{\mathbb{C}}(t_F) < \infty$ and we only need to check the bijectivity of (1.2).

Let $(\mathcal{W}_{A_1} \twoheadrightarrow E_1) \in F(A_1)$, $(\mathcal{W}_A \twoheadrightarrow E) \in F(A)$ $(\mathcal{W}_{A_2} \twoheadrightarrow E_2) \in F(A_2)$ such that the restriction morphisms $E_1 \xrightarrow{u_1} E$, $E_2 \xrightarrow{u_2} E$ induce isomorphisms

$$(\mathcal{W}_{A_1} \twoheadrightarrow E_1)|_{X_A} \cong (\mathcal{W}_A \twoheadrightarrow E), \quad (\mathcal{W}_{A_2} \twoheadrightarrow E_2)|_{X_A} \cong (\mathcal{W}_A \twoheadrightarrow E).$$

Let $B = A_1 \times_A A_2$, then, since G is pro-representable, there exists a unique $(\mathcal{W}_B \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{E}) \in G(B)$ such that

$$(\mathcal{W}_B \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{E})|_{X_{A_1}} \cong (\mathcal{W}_{A_1} \twoheadrightarrow E_1), \quad (\mathcal{W}_B \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{E})|_{X_{A_2}} \cong (\mathcal{W}_{A_2} \twoheadrightarrow E_2),$$

where $\cong$ means equality as points of *Quot* scheme. In particular, there exist morphism $\mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{q_1} E_1$, $\mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{q_2} E_2$ such that $u_1 \cdot q_1 = u_2 \cdot q_2$ and q_1, q_2 induce isomorphisms $\mathcal{E}|_{X_{A_1}} \cong E_1$, $\mathcal{E}|_{X_{A_2}} \cong E_2$. By restricting everything to X^0_B and taking wedge product, we have (for $i = 1, 2$)

$$det(\mathcal{E}^0) \xrightarrow{det(q_i^0)} det(E^0) \quad det(E^0) \xrightarrow{det(u_i^0)} det(E^0)$$

satisfying $det(u_1^0)\cdot det(q_1^0) = det(u_2^0)\cdot det(q_2^0)$. Thus, by Corollary 3.6 of [Sc],

$$det(\mathcal{E}^0) \cong det(E_1^0) \times_{det(E^0)} det(E_2^0).$$

To prove that $det(\mathcal{E}^0) \cong p_{X^0}^*(\mathcal{L})$, we imitate the arguments of uniqueness in the proof of Proposition 3.2. Since $p_{X^0}^*(\mathcal{L})|_{X^0_{A_i}} \cong det(E_i^0)$, we have morphisms

$$p_{X^0}^*(\mathcal{L}) \xrightarrow{p_1} det(E_1^0), \quad p_{X^0}^*(\mathcal{L}) \xrightarrow{p_2} det(E_2^0)$$

which induce the isomorphisms and thus a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
det(E_2^0) \arrow[r, "det(u_2^0)"] & det(E^0) \\
p_{X^0}^*(\mathcal{L}) \arrow[u, "p_2"] \arrow[d, "p_1"'] & \\
det(E_1^0) \arrow[r, "det(u_1^0)"] & det(E^0) \arrow[uu, "\theta"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

where θ is an automorphism of $det(E^0)$. If there exists a morphism

$$det(E_2^0) \xrightarrow{\theta_2} det(E_2^0)$$

such that

$$\begin{tikzcd}
det(E_2^0) \arrow[r, "\theta_2"] \arrow[d, "det(u_2^0)"'] & det(E_2^0) \arrow[d, "det(u_2^0)"'] \\
det(E^0) \arrow[r, "\theta"] & det(E^0)
\end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative, then θ_2 has to be an isomorphism (since $A_2 \to A$ is a small extension) by Lemma 3.3 of [Sc]. Thus we can modify the morphism $p_{X^0}^*(\mathcal{L}) \xrightarrow{p_2} det(E_2^0)$ to

$$\widetilde{p}_2 : p_{X^0}^*(\mathcal{L}) \xrightarrow{p_2} det(E_2^0) \xrightarrow{\theta_2^{-1}} det(E_2^0),$$

so that $det(u_1^0)\cdot p_1 = det(u_2^0)\cdot \widetilde{p}_2$. Thus $det(\mathcal{E}^0) \cong p_{X^0}^*(\mathcal{L})$ and we are done if the lift of θ is always possible. But this is equivalent to the surjectivity of the canonical map

$$H^0(X^0_{A_2}, \mathcal{O}_{X^0_{A_2}}) \to H^0(X^0_A, \mathcal{O}_{X^0_A}),$$

which is true since for any finite dimensional $\mathbb{C}$-algebra A, we have

$$H^0(X^0_A, \mathcal{O}_{X^0_A}) = H^0(X^0, \mathcal{O}_{X^0}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} A.$$

For any point $e_0 = (\mathcal{W} \twoheadrightarrow E_0)$, we observe that F has the same singularity with G at e_0. To see it, we define a functor $T : \Lambda \to \mathbf{Set}$ by

$$T(A) = \{\text{Isomorphism classes of } A\text{-flat torsion free } (\mathcal{O}_{X,x_0}\otimes A)\text{-modules}\}$$

such that $T(\mathbb{C}) = \{E_0 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X,x_0}\}$. There is a morphism of functors $\phi : G \to T$ defined by

$$\phi((\mathcal{W} \twoheadrightarrow E_A)) = E_A \otimes (\mathcal{O}_{X,x_0} \otimes A).$$

It is known that ϕ is formally smooth (See Theorem 4.1 of [F2], or Proposition (4.1) of [NS]). Here we remark that its restriction to the subfunctor F is also formally smooth.

Lemma 1.4. *The morphism $\phi : F \to T$ is formally smooth.*

Proof. Let $B \to A$ be a small extension, one need to check the surjectivity of

$$F(B) \to F(A) \times_{T(A)} T(B).$$

For any $(\mathcal{W}_A \twoheadrightarrow E_A) \in F(A)$ and $N \in T(B)$ satisfying $E_A \otimes (\mathcal{O}_{X,x_0} \otimes A) \cong N \otimes_B A$, we can find an open cover of X consists two affine sets U_1, U_2 such that $x_0 \in U_2 \smallsetminus U_1$ and $U_1 \otimes Spec(A)$, $(U_2 \smallsetminus \{x_0\}) \otimes Spec(A)$ trivializing the vector bundle $E^0_A := E_A|_{X^0_A}$ (See Proposition (4.1) of [NS]). Thus the gluing data of E^0_A is a matrix $M \in GL(\mathcal{O}_X(U_1 \cap U_2) \otimes A)$ and E_A is obtained by gluing E^0_A and $N \otimes_B A$. Then the lift $E_B \in F(B)$ was obtained by lifting the gluing data (See Proposition (4.1) of [NS]). Since $SL(\mathcal{O}_X(U_1 \cap U_2) \otimes B) \to SL(\mathcal{O}_X(U_1 \cap U_2) \otimes A)$ is surjective, it is clear that we can choose a lift $\widetilde{M} \in GL(\mathcal{O}_X(U_1 \cap U_2) \otimes B)$ of M such that the resulting sheaf $E_B \in F(B)$. This proves the lemma.

Corollary 1.5. *The functor F is pro-represented by a reduced semi-normal complete local $\mathbb{C}$-algebra.*

Proof. This follows Lemma 1.4 and the study of functor T in [F2] and [Se].

To construct the closed subscheme $\mathcal{SU}_X$, which will universally corepresent the moduli functor $\mathcal{SU}^{\natural}_X$, we recall some constructions in [S1] and [S2]. Let $\pi : \widetilde{X} \to X$ be the normalization of X and $\pi^{-1}(x_0) = \{x_1, x_2\}$, then $\widetilde{X}$ is a disjoint union of X_1 and X_2 (we will identify x_1, x_2 with x_0 when we work on X). A GPB (E, Q) of rank r on $\widetilde{X}$ is a vector bundle E of rank r on $\widetilde{X}$ (its restriction to X_i is denoted by E_i), together with a quotient $E_{x_1} \oplus E_{x_2} \to Q$ of dimension r. We have constructed the moduli space

$$\mathcal{P} := \coprod_{\chi_1 + \chi_2 = \chi + r} \mathcal{P}_{\chi_1,\chi_2}$$

of s-equivalence classes of semistable GPB (E, Q) on $\widetilde{X}$ of rank r and $\chi(E) = \chi + r$ (See [S2]), where $\mathcal{P}_{\chi_1,\chi_2} = \{(E, Q) \in \mathcal{P} \mid \chi(E_i) = \chi_i\}$ and χ_1, χ_2 satisfy (1.1). There are also finite morphisms (See [S2])

$$\phi_{\chi_1,\chi_2} : \mathcal{P}_{\chi_1,\chi_2} \to \mathcal{U}^{\chi_1,\chi_2}_X \subset \mathcal{U}_X$$

such that $\phi = \coprod \phi_{\chi_1,\chi_2} : \mathcal{P} \to \mathcal{U}_X$ is the normalization of $\mathcal{U}_X$. The morphism ϕ is defined such that $\phi([(E, Q)]) := F$ satisfies the exact sequence

$$0 \to F \to \pi_* E \to {}_{x_0}Q \to 0.$$

A straightforward generalization of Lemma 5.7 in [S1] shows that there exists a morphism

$$Det : \mathcal{P}_{\chi_1,\chi_2} \to J^{\chi_1 - r(1-g_1)}_{X_1} \times J^{\chi_2 - r(1-g_2)}_{X_2}$$

such that $Det([(E, Q)]) = (det(E_1), det(E_2))$. Let $L_i = \mathcal{L}_0|_{X_i}$ $(i = 1, 2)$ and

$$L_1(n_1) := L_1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_1}(n_1 x_1), \quad L_2(n_2) := L_2 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_2}(n_2 x_2),$$

where $n_i = \chi_i - r(1 - g_i) - deg(L_i)$. We have the closed subschemes

$$\mathcal{P}^{n_1,n_2} := Det^{-1}((L_1(n_1), L_2(n_2))$$

where n_1, n_2 are determined uniquely by χ_1, χ_2 satisfying (1.1) since $\mathcal{L}_0$ was fixed. Thus we denote the closed subsets $\phi_{\chi_1,\chi_2}(\mathcal{P}^{n_1,n_2}_{\chi_1,\chi_2}) \subset \mathcal{U}_X^{\chi_1,\chi_2}$ by $\mathcal{SU}_X^{\chi_1,\chi_2}$, and define $\mathcal{SU}_X$ to be the closed subset

$$\bigcup_{\chi_1+\chi_2=\chi+r} \mathcal{SU}_X^{\chi_1,\chi_2} \subset \mathcal{U}_X$$

with the reduced scheme structure. Then we have

Theorem 1.6. *Let $\mathcal{SU}_X$ be the closed subscheme of $\mathcal{U}_X$ defined above. Then*

(1) *The natural transformation in Theorem 1.2 induces a transformation*

$$\mathcal{SU}_X^{\natural} \to \mathcal{SU}_X$$

such that $\mathcal{SU}_X$ universally corepresents $\mathcal{SU}_X^{\natural}$.

(2) *$\mathcal{SU}_X$ is a projective, seminormal variety of dimension $(r^2-1)(g-1)$. The number of irreducible components of $\mathcal{SU}_X$ is the same with that of $\mathcal{U}_X$, and its geometric points are*

$$\mathcal{SU}_X(\mathbb{C}) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} s\text{-equivalent classes of semistable sheaves } \mathcal{E} \\ \text{of rank } r \text{ and degree } d \text{ with } det(\mathcal{E}|_{X^0}) = \mathcal{L}_0|_{X^0} \end{array} \right\}.$$

(3) *There is an open subset $\mathcal{SU}_X^s \subset \mathcal{SU}_X$ whose points represent isomorphism classes of stable sheaves with fixed determinant $\mathcal{L}_0$ on $X^0 = X\backslash\{x_0\}$. Locally in the étale topology on $\mathcal{SU}_X^s$, there exists a universal sheaf $\mathcal{E}^{univ}$ such that if $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{SU}_X^{\natural}(S)$ whose fibres $\mathcal{E}_s$ are stable, then the pull-back of $\mathcal{E}^{univ}$ via $S \to \mathcal{SU}_X^s$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{E}$ after tensoring with the pull-back of a line bundle on S.*

Proof. Firstly, it is easy to check (2). In fact, the projectivity and the number of components follow from the construction of $\mathcal{SU}_X$. The semi-normality of $\mathcal{SU}_X$ follows from Corollary 1.5. To show that the set $\mathcal{SU}_X(\mathbb{C})$ consists of the sheaves F satisfying $det(F|_{X^0}) = \mathcal{L}_0|_{X^0}$, we only need a fact that any line bundles $\mathcal{L}_1$, $\mathcal{L}_2$ on a smooth projective curve Y satisfy $\mathcal{L}_1|_{Y\backslash\{y\}} = \mathcal{L}_2|_{Y\backslash\{y\}}$ if and only if $\mathcal{L}_1 = \mathcal{L}_2 \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(ky)$ for some integer k.

To prove (1), let G be the functor represented by $\mathcal{R}^{ss}$ and F be the subfunctor defined by $F(S) = \{(\mathcal{W}_S \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{E}) \in G(S) \,|\, det(\mathcal{E}|_{X^0_S}) = p^*_{X^0}\mathcal{L}_0\}$. Let $Z \subset \mathcal{R}^{ss}$ be the inverse image of $\mathcal{SU}_X$ and $\mathcal{I}_Z$ denote the ideal sheaf of Z. It is enough to show that for any $(\mathcal{W}_S \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{E}) \in F(S)$, the morphism $\varphi_S : S \to \mathcal{R}^{ss}$ factors through $\varphi_S : S \to Z \subset \mathcal{R}^{ss}$. Namely, one has to prove $\varphi_S^*(\mathcal{I}_Z) = 0$, where $\varphi_S^* : \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{R}^{ss}} \to \varphi_{S*}\mathcal{O}_S$. This is a local problem, it is enough to show that for any $s \in S$ the morphism

$$\varphi_{S,s} : \hat{S} = Spec(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{S,s}) \to \mathcal{R}^{ss}$$

factors through $Spec(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{Z,\varphi_S(s)})$. By Proposition 1.3 and Corollary 1.5, there exists a complete noetherian local $\mathbb{C}$-algebra R and $\xi = \varinjlim(\xi_n)$, where pro-represents the local deformation functor of F at s. Thus

$$\varphi_{S,s} : \hat{S} = Spec(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{S,s}) \to \mathcal{R}^{ss}$$

factors through $f : Spec(R) \to \mathcal{R}^{ss}$, where the pullback $f^*(\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{R}^{ss}} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{E}^{univ})$ of the universal quotient is $\xi = \varprojlim(\xi_n)$, which is in fact an element of $F(Spec(R))$ in our case (it is not true for general theory). Thus $f = f_\xi : Spec(R) \to \mathcal{R}^{ss}$ was given by an element $\xi \in F(Spec(R))$. Now we can use Lemma 1.7 below, which implies that $f_\xi^*(\mathcal{I}_Z) = \sqrt{0}$, to prove that f_ξ (and thus $\varphi_{S,s}$) factors through Z since R is reduced.

Having shown (1) and (2), the proof of claim (3) is the same with that of [Si].

Lemma 1.7. *Let $\xi \in F(S)$ and $f_\xi : S \to \mathcal{R}^{ss}$ be the induced morphism by ξ, then $f_\xi(\wp) \in Z$ for any point $\wp \in S$ (including non-closed points).*

Proof. Let $\xi = (\mathcal{W}_S \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{F}) \in F(S)$. When S is reduced, we have a stratification $S = \coprod S_a$ of S, where $S_a := \{s \in S | \mathbf{a}(\mathcal{F}_s) = a\}$ are locally closed subschemes ($\mathbf{a}(\mathcal{F}_s)$ was defined by $\mathcal{F}_s \otimes \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,x_0} = \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,x_0}^{\oplus \mathbf{a}(\mathcal{F}_s)} \oplus \hat{m}_{x_0}^{\oplus(r-\mathbf{a}(F_s))}$), then using Lemma 2.7 of [S1] (for S_a) we get $f_\xi(S_a) \subset Z$. thus proves the lemma. For general S, we use the flattening stratifications $S = \coprod S_i$ of Mumford (See Lecture 8 of [Mu]) such that the sheaves used in the construction of Lemma 2.7 of [S1] are flat on S_i, then Lemma 2.7 goes through, thus the lemma is proved for general S.

§2 DEGENERATION OF MODULI SPACE OF SEMISTABLE $SL(r)$-BUNDLES

Let D be a complete discrete valuation ring with maximal idea $m_D = (t)D$ and $\mathcal{X} \to B = Spec(D)$ a flat family of proper connected curves. Assume that the generic fibre $\mathcal{X}_\eta$ is smooth and the closed fibre $\mathcal{X}_0$ is the curve X discussed in Section 1. Fix a relative ample line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(1)$ on $\mathcal{X}$ such that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(1)|_{\mathcal{X}_0} = \mathcal{O}_X(1)$. Let $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{X}} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(-N)^{\oplus P(N)}$ and $Quot_{P(n)}(\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{X}}) \to B$ be the relative Grothendieck quotient scheme. For any B-scheme S, we will write $\mathcal{X}_S$ (resp. $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{X}_S}$) for $\mathcal{X} \times_B S$ (resp. $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}\times_B S}(-N)^{\oplus P(N)}$). Let $\mathcal{R}^{ss}_{\mathcal{X}}$ be the open set of semistable sheaves whose quotient map induces isomorphism $\mathcal{O}_S^{P(N)} \cong H^0(\mathcal{E}(N))$. It is well-known that the relative moduli space of semistable torsion free sheaves is the relative good quotient

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{R}^{ss}_{\mathcal{X}} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "f"'] & \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{X}} := \mathcal{R}^{ss}_{\mathcal{X}}//SL(P(N)) \arrow[d, "\tilde{f}"'] \\
B \arrow[r, equal] & B
\end{tikzcd}$$

Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a line bundle on $\mathcal{X}$ such that $\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{X}_0} = \mathcal{L}_0$ and $\mathcal{L}_\eta = \mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{X}_\eta}$. Let

$$\mathcal{R}^{ss}_{\mathcal{X}_\eta}(\mathcal{L}_\eta) \subset \mathcal{R}^{ss}_{\mathcal{X}_\eta}$$

be the closed subscheme of sheaves with fixed determinant $\mathcal{L}_\eta$, and

$$\mathcal{SU}_{\mathcal{X}_\eta} := \mathcal{R}^{ss}_{\mathcal{X}_\eta}(\mathcal{L}_\eta)//SL(P(N))$$

be the moduli space of semistable bundles on $\mathcal{X}_\eta$ with fixed determinant $\mathcal{L}_\eta$. Let

$$\mathcal{R}^{ss}(\mathcal{L}) := \overline{\mathcal{R}^{ss}_{\mathcal{X}_\eta}(\mathcal{L}_\eta)} \subset \mathcal{R}^{ss}_{\mathcal{X}}, \quad \mathcal{SU}_{\mathcal{X}} := \overline{\mathcal{SU}_{\mathcal{X}_\eta}} \subset \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{X}} \tag{2.1}$$

be the Zariski closure of $\mathcal{R}^{ss}_{\mathcal{X}_\eta}(\mathcal{L}_\eta)$ and $S\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{X}_\eta}$ in $\mathcal{R}^{ss}_{\mathcal{X}}$ and $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{X}}$ respectively. Then $S\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{X}}$ is the relative good quotient of $\mathcal{R}^{ss}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{L})$. We will prove that

$$\widetilde{f} : S\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{X}} \to B$$

universally corepresents a moduli functor $S\mathcal{U}^{\natural}_{\mathcal{X}}$, which is defined as

$$S\mathcal{U}^{\natural}_{\mathcal{X}}(S) = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{O}_S\text{-flat semistable sheaves } \mathcal{E} \text{ on } \mathcal{X}_S := \mathcal{X} \times_B S \text{ of} \\ \text{rank } r \text{ and degree } d \text{ satisfying } det(\mathcal{E}|_{\mathcal{X}^0_S}) = p^*_{\mathcal{X}^0}\mathcal{L} \end{array} \right\},$$

where $\mathcal{X}^0 := \mathcal{X} \setminus \{x_0\}$ and $p_{\mathcal{X}^0} : \mathcal{X}^0_S := \mathcal{X}^0 \times_B S \to \mathcal{X}^0$ denotes the projection. Actually, we will prove that the functor

$$\mathcal{R}^{\natural}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{L})(S) = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{O}_S\text{-flat quotients } (\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{X}_S} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{E}_S) \\ \text{on } \mathcal{X}_S \text{ such that } \mathcal{E}_S \in S\mathcal{U}^{\natural}_{\mathcal{X}}(S). \end{array} \right\}$$

is represented by the closed subscheme $\mathcal{R}^{ss}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{L}) \subset \mathcal{R}^{ss}_{\mathcal{X}}$.

Lemma 2.1. *The functor $\mathcal{R}^{\natural}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{L})$ is represented by the closed subscheme $\mathcal{R}^{ss}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{L}) \subset \mathcal{R}^{ss}_{\mathcal{X}}$ and the restriction of universal quotient on $\mathcal{X} \times_B \mathcal{R}^{ss}_{\mathcal{X}}$.*

Proof. For any $(\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{X}_S} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{E}_S) \in \mathcal{R}^{\natural}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{L})(S)$, there is a unique morphism

$$\varphi_S : S \to \mathcal{R}^{ss}_{\mathcal{X}}$$

such that pullback of the universal quotient $(\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{R}^{ss}_{\mathcal{X}}}} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{E}^{univ}_{\mathcal{R}^{ss}_{\mathcal{X}}})$ is $(\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{X}_S} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{E}_S)$. It is enough to show that φ_S is factorized through

$$\begin{tikzcd}
S \arrow[r, "\varphi_S"] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{R}^{ss}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{L}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "f_{\mathcal{L}}"'] & \mathcal{R}^{ss}_{\mathcal{X}} \arrow[d, "f"'] \\
B \arrow[r, equal] & B \arrow[r, equal] & B
\end{tikzcd}$$

This is true at the generic fibre, we only need to check the case when S is defined over $0 \in B$. In the proof of Theorem 1.6 (1), we have show that φ_S is factorized through (note that we are at the case of $\mathcal{X} \times_B S = \mathcal{X}_0 \times S$)

$$Z = \{(\mathcal{W} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{E}) \in \mathcal{R}^{ss}_{\mathcal{X}_0} \,|\, det(\mathcal{E}|_{X^0}) = p^*_{X^0}(\mathcal{L}_0)\}.$$

Thus the lemma follows the equality: $f^{-1}_{\mathcal{L}}(0) := \mathcal{R}^{ss}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{L})_0 = Z$, which we will prove in the next lemma.

Lemma 2.2. *$f^{-1}_{\mathcal{L}}(0) := \mathcal{R}^{ss}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{L})_0 = Z$.*

Proof. We first prove that $\mathcal{R}^{ss}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{L})_0 \subset Z$. Let $\xi_0 = (\mathcal{W} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{E}_0) \in \mathcal{R}^{ss}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{L})_0$, we need to show that $det(\mathcal{E}_0|_{X^0}) = p^*_{X^0}(\mathcal{L}_0)$.

By the definition of $\mathcal{R}^{ss}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{L})$, there exists a complete discrete valuation ring $\widetilde{D}$ dominant D, and a morphism $T := Spec(\widetilde{D}) \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathcal{R}^{ss}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{L})$ over B such that

$$\varphi(\widetilde{\eta}) \in \mathcal{R}^{ss}(\mathcal{L}), \quad \varphi(\widetilde{0}) = \xi$$

Namely, there is a $\mathcal{O}_T$-flat torsion free sheaf $\mathcal{E}$ on $\mathcal{X}\times_B T$ such that $det(\mathcal{E}|_{\mathcal{X}_\eta})=\mathcal{L}_\eta$ and $\mathcal{E}|_{\mathcal{X}_0}=\mathcal{E}_0$.

Let $\varpi:\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_T\to\mathcal{X}_T$ be the desingularization of $\mathcal{X}_T$ at x_0. The exceptional divisor $\varpi^{-1}(x_0)=\sum E_i$ is a chain of (-2)-curves, and the special fibre $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_0$ of $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_T\to T$ at $\widetilde{0}$ is $X_1+X_2+\sum E_i$, and $X_1\cap(X_2+\sum E_i)=\{x_1\}$, $X_2\cap(X_1+\sum E_i)=\{x_2\}$. Identifying $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_T\setminus\varpi^{-1}(x_0)\cong\mathcal{X}_T\setminus\{x_0\}=\mathcal{X}_T^0$, we can extend $det(\mathcal{E}|_{\mathcal{X}_T^0})$ into a line bundle $\overline{det(\mathcal{E}|_{\mathcal{X}_T^0})}$ on $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_T$, which satisfies that $(\varpi^*p_{\mathcal{X}}^*\mathcal{L})|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_\eta}=\overline{det(\mathcal{E}|_{\mathcal{X}_T^0})}|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_\eta}$. Thus one has

$$\overline{det(\mathcal{E}|_{\mathcal{X}_T^0})}=(\varpi^*p_{\mathcal{X}}^*\mathcal{L})\otimes\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_T}(V),$$

where $V\subsetneqq\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_0$ is a vertical divisor. Since $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_T}(\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_0)$ is trivial, there are integers n_1, n_2 such that $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_T}(V)|_{X_1}=\mathcal{O}_{X_1}(n_1x_1)$, $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_T}(V)|_{X_2}=\mathcal{O}_{X_2}(n_2x_2)$. Hence

$$det(\mathcal{E}_0|_{X^0})=det(\mathcal{E}|_{\mathcal{X}_T^0})|_{X^0}=\overline{det(\mathcal{E}|_{\mathcal{X}_T^0})}|_{X^0}=p_{X^0}^*(\mathcal{L}_0).$$

We are left to prove $Z\subset\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{X}}^{ss}(\mathcal{L})_0$. Since the locus $\mathcal{R}_0\subset f^{-1}(0)=\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{X}_0}^{ss}$ of locally free sheaves is a dense open subset of $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{X}_0}^{ss}$, we only need to check that

$$\mathcal{R}_0\cap Z\subset\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{X}}^{ss}(\mathcal{L})_0$$

Let $\xi_0=(\mathcal{W}\twoheadrightarrow\mathcal{E}_0)\in\mathcal{R}_0\cap Z$, then $\mathcal{E}_0$ is a vector bundle on $\mathcal{X}_0=X$ and $det(\mathcal{E}_0|_{X^0})=p_{X^0}^*(\mathcal{L}_0)$, which implies that (for $i=1,2$)

$$det(\mathcal{E}_0)|_{X_i}=det(\mathcal{E}_0|_{X_i})=\mathcal{L}_0|_{X_i}\otimes\mathcal{O}_{X_i}(n_ix_0),\quad n_1+n_2=0.$$

Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}=\mathcal{L}\otimes\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(X_1+(n_1+1)X_2)$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_0=\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}|_{\mathcal{X}_0}$. Then, since $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(X_1+X_2)\cong\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$, we have $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(X_1+(n_1+1)X_2)|_{X_i}=\mathcal{O}_{X_i}(n_ix_0)$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_0|_{X_i}=det(\mathcal{E}_0)|_{X_i}$, which implies that

$$det(\mathcal{E}_0)=\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_0=\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}|_{\mathcal{X}_0}.$$

Let $d_i=deg(\mathcal{E}_0|_{X_i})$ and $J_{X_i}^{d_i}$ $(i=1,2)$ be the Jacobian variety of line bundles of degree d_i on X_i. Let $J(\mathcal{X}_\eta)$ be the Jacobian varirty of line bundles of degree d on $\mathcal{X}_\eta$. Then $J(\mathcal{X}_\eta)$ can be compactified into a relative Jacobian variety

$$J(\mathcal{X})\to B$$

such that its special fibre $J(\mathcal{X})_0=J_{X_1}^{d_1}\times J_{X_2}^{d_2}$ (Otherwise, we can modify $J(\mathcal{X})$ through an isomorphism by tensoring a suitable line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(k_1X_1+k_2X_2)$ on $\mathcal{X}$). Let $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{X}}^0\subset\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{X}}^{ss}$ be the locus of locally free sheaves. By taking determinant, we have a morphism

$$Det:\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{X}}^0\setminus\bigcup_{\chi_i\neq d_i+r(1-g_i)}\mathcal{R}_0^{\chi_1,\chi_2}\to J(\mathcal{X}).$$

The line bundle $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$ on $\mathcal{X}$ gives a section $\sigma:B\to J(\mathcal{X})$ such that $\sigma(0)=\sigma(B)\cap J(\mathcal{X})_0$. Let $\mathcal{R}^\sigma:=Det^{-1}(\sigma(B))\to B$ and $\mathcal{E}^\sigma=\mathcal{E}^{univ}|_{\mathcal{X}\times_B\mathcal{R}^\sigma}$. Then

$$det(\mathcal{E}^\sigma)=p^*(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}})\otimes p^*(\mathcal{K})$$

for some line bundle $\mathcal{K}$ on $\mathcal{R}^\sigma$, and $\xi_0 \in \mathcal{R}^\sigma$. Thus, for any open set $\xi_0 \in U$ such that $\mathcal{K}$ is trivial on $U \to B$, we have $U \cap \mathcal{R}^{ss}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{L}_\eta) \neq \emptyset$. Thus

$$\xi_0 \in \overline{\mathcal{R}^{ss}\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{L}_\eta)},$$

which proves the lemma.

Let $\Lambda_{\mathcal{X}}$ be the category of Artinian local $D-$algebras. For any point

$$e = (\mathcal{W} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{E}_0) \in \mathcal{R}^{ss}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{L})_0,$$

let $F_{\mathcal{X}} : \Lambda_{\mathcal{X}} \to \mathbf{Set}$ denote the local deformation functor of $\mathcal{R}^{ss}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{L})$ at e. Similarly, we define a functor $T_{\mathcal{X}} : \Lambda \to \mathbf{Set}$ by

$$T_{\mathcal{X}}(A) = \{\text{Isomorphism classes of } A\text{-flat torsion free } (\mathcal{O}_{X,x_0} \otimes_D A)\text{-modules}\}$$

such that $T(\mathbb{C}) = \{\mathcal{E}_0 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X,x_0}\}$. There is a morphism of functors

$$\phi : F_{\mathcal{X}} \to T_{\mathcal{X}}$$

defined by $\phi((\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{X}} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{X}_A})) = \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{X}_A} \otimes (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X},x_0} \otimes_D A)$. Similar with Lemma 1.4, we have

Lemma 2.3. *The morphism $\phi : F_{\mathcal{X}} \to T_{\mathcal{X}}$ is formally smooth.*

Proof. The same arguments with Lemma 1.4, we just remark an easy fact: Let B be a flat D-algebra and M be a B-module, flat over D. Assume that $M \otimes_D D/m$ is a free B/mB-module. Then M is a free B-module.

Let $\mathbf{X} = (x_{ij})_{(r-a)\times(r-a)}$ and $\mathbf{Y} = (y_{ij})_{(r-a)\times(r-a)}$ be the $(r-a)\times(r-a)$ matrics, and

$$\mathcal{Z} = \mathrm{Spec}\frac{D[\mathbf{X},\mathbf{Y}]}{(\mathbf{X}\cdot\mathbf{Y} - t, \mathbf{Y}\cdot\mathbf{X} - t)}.$$

Let $0 \in \mathcal{Z}$ be the point defined by the idea $(\mathbf{X},\mathbf{Y},t)\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}}$. Then we have

Lemma 2.4. *Let $e = (\mathcal{W} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{E}_0) \in \mathcal{R}^{ss}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{L})_0$ such that $\mathbf{a}(\mathcal{E}_0) = a$. Then there exist ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 such that*

$$\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{R}^{ss}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{L}),e}[[u_1,\cdots,u_{\ell_1}]] \cong \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{Z},0}[[v_1,\cdots,v_{\ell_2}]].$$

In particular, when $\mathcal{X}$ is regular, $\mathcal{R}^{ss}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{L})$ is regular.

Proof. This is the consequence of Lemma 2.3 and the results of [F2] and [NS].

Theorem 2.5. *Let $\mathcal{X} \to B$ be a regular scheme with closed fibre $\mathcal{X}_0 = X$ and a fixed relative ample line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(1)$. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a line bundle on $\mathcal{X}$ such that $\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{X}_0} = \mathcal{L}_0$ and let $\widetilde{f} : \mathcal{SU}_{\mathcal{X}} \to B$ be defined as (2.1). Then*

1. *There is a natural transformation $\mathcal{SU}^\natural_{\mathcal{X}} \to \mathcal{SU}_{\mathcal{X}}$ such that $\widetilde{f} : \mathcal{SU}_{\mathcal{X}} \to B$ universally corepresents $\mathcal{SU}^\natural_{\mathcal{X}}$.*
2. *$\widetilde{f} : \mathcal{SU}_{\mathcal{X}} \to B$ is a flat family of projective varieties of dimension $(r^2-1)(g-1)$, whose general fibres $\widetilde{f}^{-1}(b)$ are moduli spaces $\mathcal{SU}_{\mathcal{X}_b})$ of semistable vector bundles of rank r and degree d with fixed determinant $\mathcal{L}_b = \mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{X}_b}$, and its closed fibre $\widetilde{f}^{-1}(0)$ is the variety $\mathcal{SU}_X$ in Theorem 1.6.*
3. *The scheme $\mathcal{SU}_{\mathcal{X}}$ is normal with only rational singularities, and the locus $\mathcal{SU}^s_{\mathcal{X}}$ of stable sheaves is smooth.*

Proof. (1) follows from Lemma 2.1 and the same arguments of [Si]. (2) is clear. (3) follows from Lemma 2.4 and general theorems in GIT.

References

[F1] G. Faltings, *A proof for the Verlinde formula*, J. Algebraic Geom. **3** (1994), 347–374.

[F2] G. Faltings, *Moduli-stacks for bundles on semistable curves*, Math. Ann. **304** (1996), 489–515.

[Gi] D. Gieseker, *A degeneration of the moduli space of stable bundles*, J. Differential Geom. **19** (1984), 173–206.

[GL1] D. Gieseker and J. Li, *Irreducibility of moduli of rank 2 vector bundles on algebraic surfaces*, J. Differential Geom. **40** (1994), 23–104.

[GL2] D. Gieseker and J. Li, *Moduli of high rank vector bundles over surfaces*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **9** (1996), 107–151.

[Li] K. Z. Li, *Lectures on moduli theory (in Chinese, unpublished)* (2001).

[Mu] D. Mumford, *Lectures on curves on an algebraic surface*, Annals of Math. Studies **59** (1966).

[NR] M.S.Narasimhan and T.R. Ramadas, *Factorisation of generalised theta functions I*, Invent. Math. **114** (1993), 565–623.

[NS] D.S. Nagaraj and C.S. Seshadri, *Degenerations of the moduli spaces of vector bundles on curves I*, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci.(Math. Sci.) **107** (1997), 101–137.

[Sc] M. Schlessinger, *Functors of Artin Rings*, Trans. of AMS. **130** (1968), 208–222.

[Se] C.S. Seshadri, *Fibrés vectoriels sur les courbes algébriques*, Astérisque **96** (1982).

[Si] C. Simpson, *Moduli of representations of the fundamental group of a smooth projective variety I*, I.H.E.S. Publications Mathématiques **79** (1994), 47–129.

[S1] X. Sun, *Degeneration of moduli spaces and generalized theta functions*, J. Algebraic Geom. **9** (2000), 459–527.

[S2] X. Sun, *Factorization of generalized theta functions at reducible case*, math. AG/0004111 (2000), 1–33.

Institute of Mathematics, Academia Sinica, Beijing 100080, China
E-mail address: `xsun@math08.math.ac.cn`

Department of Mathematics, The University of Hong Kong, Pokfulam Road, Hong Kong
E-mail address: `xsun@maths.hku.hk`